Noname manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Generalized Vector Quasi-Equilibrium Problems Involving Relaxed Continuity of the Set-Valued Maps

Monica Patriche

University of Bucharest e-mail: monica.patriche@yahoo.com

The date of receipt and acceptance will be inserted by the editor

University of Bucharest, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Academiei 14 Street,010014Bucharest,Romania.
E-mail:monica.patriche@yahoo.com

Abstract. In this paper, we study the existence of solutions for generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problems. Firstly, we prove that in the case of Banach spaces, the assumptions of continuity over correspondences can be weakened. The theoretical analysis is based on fixed-point theorems. Secondly, we establish new equilibrium theorems as applications of the KKM principle.

Key Words: generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problem, Kakutani-Ky Fan fixed point theorem, Park theorem, almost lower semicontinuous correspondence, lower semicontinuous correspondence.

arXiv:1605.03051v1 [math.OC] 10 May 2016

1 Introduction

The vector equilibrium problem is a unified model of several other problems, for instance, vector variational inequalities, vector optimization problems or Debreu-type equilibrium problems. This explains the increasing attention paid to it by many researchers nowadays. For further information on this topic, the reader is referred to the following list of selected publications: [1-5],[7],[8],[10], [12],[14-17].

We report new results concerning the existence of solutions for the generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problems with correspondences fulfilling weak-continuity assumptions. Firstly, our theoretical analysis is based on

Correspondence to:
University of Bucharest, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, 14 Academiei Street, 010014 Bucharest, Romania

fixed-point theorems. In the case of Banach spaces, the assumption of continuity over correspondences can be weakened to almost lower semicontinuity. The methodology of the proofs relies on an approximation technique. We also establish a new equilibrium result in the case of lower semicontinuity of the correspondences. We work on regular vector topological spaces (dropping the local convexity). We also drop the property of properly $C-$ quasi convexity of $f(x,y,\cdot)$. Our study is characterized by the refinement of the hypotheses concerning the equilibrium problems. We underline its novelty and the improvement of the existent results obtained so far in literature. Secondly, we obtained new equilibrium theorems by applying the KKM principle.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains preliminaries and notations. Sections 3 reveals the existence of solutions for a generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problem. Several applications of the KKM principle to the equilibrium problems are established in Section 4. Section 5 presents the conclusions of our research.

2 Preliminaries and notation

For the reader's convenience, we present several properties of the correspondences which are used in our proofs.

Let X, Y be topological spaces and $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ be a correspondence. T is said to be *upper semicontinuous* if for each $x \in X$ and each open set V in Y with $T(x) \subset V$, there exists an open neighborhood U of x in X such that $T(x) \subset V$ for each $y \in U$. T is said to be *lower semicontinuous* if for each x$\in X$ and each open set V in Y with $T(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset$, there exists an open neighborhood U of x in X such that $T(y) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for each $y \in U$. Equivalently, T is *lower semicontinuous* if for each closed set V in Y, $\{x \in X : T(x) \subset V\}$ is closed. For each $x \in X$, the set $T(x)$ is called the *upper section* of T at x. For each $y \in Y$, the set $T^{-1}(y) := \{x \in X : y \in T(x)\}$ is called the *lower section* of T at y.

The graph of $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ is the set $\mathrm{Gr}(T) = \{(x,y) \in X \times Y : y \in T(x)\}$.

The correspondence $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ is said to have *a closed graph* if the set $\mathrm{Gr}(T)$ is closed in $X \times Y$. If T has a closed graph, then, the lower and the upper sections are closed.

The correspondence $\overline{T}$ is defined by $\overline{T}(x) = \{y \in Y : (x,y) \in \mathrm{cl}_{X\times Y}\mathrm{Gr}(T)\}$ (the set $\mathrm{cl}_{X\times Y}\mathrm{Gr}(T)$ is called *the adherence of the graph of* T). It is easy to see that $\mathrm{cl}T(x) \subset \overline{T}(x)$ for each $x \in X$.

Lemma 1 concerns the continuity of correspondences. It will be also crucial in our proofs.

Lemma 1 *(see [20]). Let X and Y be two topological spaces and let A be a closed subset of X. Suppose $T_1 : X \rightrightarrows Y$, $T_2 : X \rightrightarrows Y$ are lower semicontinuous correspondences such that $T_2(x) \subset T_1(x)$ for all $x \in A$. Then the*

correspondence $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ *defined by* $T(z) = \begin{cases} T_1(x), & \text{if } x \notin A, \\ T_2(x), & \text{if } x \in A \end{cases}$ *is also lower semicontinuous.*

Let X be a nonempty convex subset of a topological vector space E, Z be a real topological vector space, Y be a subset of Z and C be a pointed closed convex cone in Z with its interior int$C \neq \emptyset$. Let $T : X \rightrightarrows Z$ be a correspondence with nonempty values. T is said to be *properly* $C-$*quasi-convex on* X, if for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $\lambda \in [0,1]$, either $T(x_1) \subset T(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) + C$ or $T(x_2) \subset T(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) + C$.

3 Main results

We start with the presentation of the problem we approach.

Let X be a nonempty subset of a topological vector space E and let Y, Z be topological vector spaces. Let $C : X \rightrightarrows Z$ be a correspondence such that, for each $x \in X$, $C(x)$ is a pointed closed convex cone with int$C(x) \neq \emptyset$. Let $K : X \rightrightarrows X$, $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$and $f : X \times Y \times X \rightrightarrows Z$ be correspondences with nonempty values. We consider the following generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problem:

(GVQEP 1): Find $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times Y$ such that $x^* \in \overline{K}(x^*)$, $y^* \in \overline{T}(x^*)$ and $f(x^*, y^*, u) \nsubseteq -\text{int}C(x^*)$ for each $u \in K(x^*)$.

Firstly, we study a generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problem on Banach spaces with the general motivation of relaxing the continuity assumptions of the involved correspondences. The almost lower semicontinuous correspondences defined by Deutsch and Kenderov in [6] enjoy the very interesting property of having $\varepsilon-$approximate selections. This leads to the fact that finding solutions for GVQEP by using this property is becoming increasing crucial.

Now, we are going to present the almost lower semicontinuous correspondences.

Let X be a topological space and Y be a normed linear space. The correspondence $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ is said to be *almost lower semicontinuous (a.l.s.c.) at* $x \in X$ (see [6]), if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a neighborhood $U(x)$ of x such that $\bigcap_{z \in U(x)} B(T(z); \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$.

T is *almost lower semicontinuous* if it is a.l.s.c. at each $x \in X$.

Deutsch and Kenderov [6] established the following characterization of a.l.s.c. correspondences.

Lemma 2 *(Deutsch and Kenderov, [6]) Let* X *be a paracompact topological space,* Y *be a normed vector space and* $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ *be a correspondence having convex values. Then,* T *is a.l.s.c. if and only if, for each* $\varepsilon > 0$*,* T *admits a continuous* $\varepsilon-$*approximate selection* f*; that is,* $f : X \rightrightarrows Y$ *is a continuous single-valued function, such that* $f(x) \in B(T(x); \varepsilon)$ *for each* $x \in X$.

Let X, Y and Z be topological spaces and let $K : X \rightrightarrows X$, $C : X \rightrightarrows Z$ and $f : X \times Y \times X \rightrightarrows Z$ be correspondences with nonempty values. Let $P, G : X \times Y \rightrightarrows X$ be defined by

$P(x,y) = \{u \in X : f(x,y,u) \subseteq -C(x)\}$ for each $(x,y) \in X \times Y$ and

$G(x,y) = K(x) \cap P(x,y)$ for each $(x,y) \in X \times Y$.

We introduce the following notion.

Definition 1 *We say that f has the property $\mathcal{P}$ with respect to K on $X \times Y$ if G is almost lower semicontinuous on $X \times Y$.*

We note that f satisfies the following property:

$(\mathcal{P})$ *for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $(x,y) \in X \times Y$, there exist $u \in X$ and a neighborhood $U((x,y))$ in $X \times Y$ such that, for each $(x',y') \in U((x,y))$, there exists $u'(x',y') \in K(x') \cap B(u;\varepsilon)$ with the property that $f(x',y',u'(x',y')) \subset -C(x')$.*

The next theorem establishes the existence of solutions for the considered generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problem involving correspondences with relaxed continuity, defined on Banach spaces.

Theorem 1 *Let Z be a Banach space and let X, Y be nonempty compact convex subsets of Banach spaces E, respectively F. Assume that:*

i) $K : X \rightrightarrows X$ is an almost lower semicontinuous correspondence with nonempty convex values such that $\overline{K}$ has nonempty lower sections; in addition, there exists an integer $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $B(K(X); \frac{1}{n_0}) \subset X$;

ii) $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ is an upper semicontinuous correspondence with nonempty convex values;

iii) $C : X \rightrightarrows Z$ is a correspondence such that, for all $x \in X$, $C(x)$ is a pointed closed convex cone with $intC(x) \neq \emptyset$;

iv) $f : X \times Y \times X \rightrightarrows Z$ is a correspondence with nonempty values which satisfies the following conditions:

a) for all $(x,y) \in X \times Y$, $(x,y,x) \notin \mathrm{cl}\{(x,y,u) \in X \times Y \times X : f(x,y,u) \subseteq -C(x)\}$;

b) for each $(x,y) \in X \times Y$, the correspondence $f(x,y,\cdot) : X \rightrightarrows Z$ is $(-C(x))-$quasi-convex;

c) $A = \{(x,y) \in X \times Y$: there exists $u \in K(x)$ such that: $f(x,y,u) \subseteq -C(x)\}$ is open or empty;

d) f has the property $\mathcal{P}$ with respect to K on A;

e) for each $(x_0,y_0) \in frA$, there exists an open neighborhood U of (x_0,y_0) such that $\bigcap_{(x,y)\in U\cap A}(K \cap P)(x,y) \subset \bigcap_{(x,y)\in U} K(x)$;

f) for each $z \in X$, $\{(x,y) \in X \times Y : (x,y,z) \in \mathrm{cl}_{X\times Y\times X}\{(x,y,u) \in X \times Y \times X : f(x,y,u) \subseteq -\mathrm{int}C(x)$ and $u \in K(x)\}\}$ is nonempty.

Then, there exists $(x^*,y^*) \in X \times Y$ such that $x^* \in \overline{K}(x^*)$, $y^* \in \overline{T}(x^*)$ and $f(x^*,y^*,u) \nsubseteq -intC(x^*)$ for each $u \in K(x^*)$.

Proof. The key idea of the proof is to use the Kakutani-Ky Fan fixed point Theorem. To this end, we need to construct the following correspondences.

Let $P, G : X \times Y \rightrightarrows X$ be defined by

$P(x,y) = \{u \in X : f(x,y,u) \subseteq -C(x)\}$ for each $(x,y) \in X \times Y$ and

$G(x,y) = K(x) \cap P(x,y)$ for each $(x,y) \in X \times Y$.

We are going to show that there exists a pair $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times Y$, such that $x^* \in \overline{K}(x^*)$, $y^* \in \overline{T}(x^*)$ and $K(x^*) \cap P(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$.

We consider two cases.

Case I.

$A = \{(x,y) \in X \times Y : P(x,y) \cap K(x) \neq \emptyset\} = \{(x,y) \in X \times Y : G(x,y) \neq \emptyset\} = \emptyset$.

In this case, $G(x,y) = \emptyset$ for each $(x,y) \in X \times Y$.

Firstly, let us define $K_n : X \rightrightarrows X$ by $K_n(x) = B(K(x); 1/(n + n_0 - 1))$ for each $x \in K$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Since K is almost lower semicontinuous, according to Lemma 2, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a continuous function $f_n : X \to X$ such that $f_n(x) \in K_n(x)$ for each $x \in X$. Brouwer-Schauder fixed point theorem assures that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $x_n \in X$ such that $x_n = f_n(x_n)$ and then, $x_n \in K_n(x_n)$.

Then, $d(x_n, K(x_n)) \to 0$ when $n \to \infty$ and since X is compact, $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$. Let $x^* = \lim_{n_k \to \infty} x_{n_k}$. It follows that $d(x^*, K(x_{n_k})) \to 0$ when $n_k \to \infty$.

We claim that $x^* \in \overline{K}(x^*)$. Let us assume, to the contrary, that $x^* \notin \overline{K}(x^*)$. $\overline{K}$ has a closed graph, therefore, its upper and lower sections are closed. We note that condition i) implies that $\overline{K}$ has nonempty lower sections. Since $\{x^*\} \cap \overline{K}^{-1}(x^*) = \emptyset$ and X is a regular space, there exists $r_1 > 0$ such that $B(x^*; r_1) \cap \overline{K}^{-1}(x^*) = \emptyset$. Consequently, for each $z \in B(x^*; r_1)$, we have that $z \notin \overline{K}^{-1}(x^*)$, which is equivalent with $x^* \notin \overline{K}(z)$ or $\{x^*\} \cap \overline{K}(z) = \emptyset$. The closedness of each $\overline{K}(z)$ and the regularity of X imply the existence of a real number $r_2 > 0$ such that $B(x^*; r_2) \cap \overline{K}(z) = \emptyset$ for each $z \in B(x^*; r_1)$, which implies $x_0 \notin B(\overline{K}(z); r_2)$ for each $z \in B(x^*; r_1)$. Let $r = \min\{r_1, r_2\}$. Hence, $x^* \notin B(\overline{K}(z); r)$ for each $z \in B(x^*; r)$, and then, there exists $N^* \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n_k > N^*$, $x^* \notin B(\overline{K}(x_{n_k}); r)$ which contradicts $d(x^*, K(x_{n_k})) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. It follows that our assumption is false. Hence, $x^* \in \overline{K}(x^*)$.

Since T has nonempty values, we can pick $y^* \in T(x^*)$. Obviously, $G(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$. Consequently, the conclusion holds in Case I.

Case II.

$A = \{(x,y) \in X \times Y : P(x,y) \cap K(x) \neq \emptyset\} = \{(x,y) \in X \times Y : G(x,y) \neq \emptyset\}$ is nonempty and open.

Firstly we are proving the convexity of $P(x_0, y_0)$, where $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$ is arbitrarily fixed. Indeed, let us consider $u_1, u_2 \in P(x_0, y_0)$ and $\lambda \in [0,1]$. Since $u_1, u_2 \in X$ and the set X is convex, the convex combination $u = \lambda u_1 + (1-\lambda)u_2$ is an element of X.

Further, by using the property of properly $(-C(x_0))$ $-$quasi-convexity of $f(x_0, y_0, \cdot)$, we can assume, without loss of generality, that $f(x_0, y_0, u_1) \subset f(x_0, y_0, u) - C(x_0)$.

We will prove that $u \in P(x_0, y_0)$. If, to the contrary, $u \notin P(x_0, y_0)$, then, $f(x_0, y_0, u) \nsubseteq -C(x_0)$ and, therefore, $f(x_0, y_0, u_1) \subset f(x_0, y_0, u) - C(x_0) \nsubseteq -C(x_0) - C(x_0) \subseteq -C(x_0)$, which contradicts $u_1 \in P(x_0, y_0)$. Hence, $u \in P(x_0, y_0)$ and, consequently, $P(x_0, y_0)$ is a convex set.

Since f fulfills the property $\mathcal{P}$ with respect to K on A, then, the correspondence G is almost lower semicontinuous on A.

Further, let us define the correspondence $H : X \times Y \rightrightarrows X$ by

$$H(x,y) = \begin{cases} G(x,y), & \text{if } (x,y) \in A; \\ K(x), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} K(x) \cap P(x,y), & \text{if } (x,y) \in A; \\ K(x), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The correspondence H is nonempty and convex valued.

We notice that for each $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, $H(x, y) \subseteq K(x)$ and i) implies that there exists an integer $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $B(H(X); \frac{1}{n_0}) \subset X$.

Now, we are proving that H is almost lower semicontinuous on $X \times Y$.

Let $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$ be arbitrary fixed. Let us consider firstly $(x_0, y_0) \in A$. Since $H_{|A} = G_{|A}$, it is nonempty valued. We conclude that $H_{|A}$ is almost lower semicontinuous at $(x_0, y_0) \in A$. $H_{|A^*} = K_{|A^*}$, where $A^* = \text{int}(X \times Y \setminus A)$, and it is nonempty valued. We conclude that $H_{|A^*}$ is also almost lower semicontinuous on A^*. Condition iv e) implies that H is almost lower semicontinuous at $(x_0, y_0) \in \text{fr}A$. Hence, H is almost lower semicontinuous on $X \times Y$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let us define $H_n : X \times Y \rightrightarrows X$ by $H_n(x, y) = B(H(x, y); \frac{1}{n+n_0-1})$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times Y$.

According to Deutsch and Kenderov Lemma, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there exists a continuous function $h_n : X \times Y \to X$ such that $h_n(x, y) \in H_n(x, y)$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times Y$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let us define $M_n : X \times Y \rightrightarrows X \times Y$ by $M_n(x, y) = (h_n(x, y), \text{cl}T(x))$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times Y$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, M_n is closed (since h_n is continuous and cl$T$ is upper semicontinuous with nonempty closed values) and it has nonempty closed convex values. Then, by the Kakutani-Ky Fan fixed point Theorem, there exists a fixed point $(x_n^*, y_n^*) \in M_n(x_n^*, y_n^*)$, which implies that $x_n^* \in H_n(x_n^*, y_n^*)$ and $y_n^* \in \text{cl}T(x_n^*)$. We note that $d(x_n^*, H(x_n^*, y_n^*)) \to 0$ when $n \to \infty$. Since $X \times Y$ is compact, $(x_n^*, y_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ has a convergent subsequence $(x_{n_k}^*, y_{n_k}^*)_{n_k \in \mathbb{N}^*}$. Let $(x^*, y^*) = \lim_{n_k \to \infty} (x_{n_k}^*, y_{n_k}^*)$. It follows that $d(x^*, H(x_{n_k}^*, y_{n_k}^*)) \to 0$ when $n_k \to \infty$.

The correspondence cl$T$ is closed and therefore, $y^* \in \text{cl}T(x^*)$. We claim that $x^* \in \overline{H}(x^*, y^*)$. Let us assume, by the contrary, that $x^* \notin \overline{H}(x^*, y^*)$, or, equivalently, $(x^*, y^*) \notin \overline{H}^{-1}(x^*)$. We note that condition iv f) implies that $\overline{H}$ has nonempty lower sections. In addition, $\overline{H}$ has a closed graph, therefore, its upper and lower sections are closed. Then, there exists $r_1 > 0$ such that $B((x^*, y^*); r_1) \cap \overline{H}^{-1}(x^*) = \emptyset$. Hence, for each $(x, y) \in B((x^*, y^*); r_1)$, we have that $(x, y) \notin \overline{H}^{-1}(x^*)$, which means $x^* \notin \overline{H}(x, y)$ or $\{x^*\} \cap \overline{H}(x, y) = \emptyset$. The closedeness of $\overline{H}(x, y)$ implies the existence of a real number $r_2 > 0$ such that $B(x^*; r_2) \cap \overline{H}(x, y) = \emptyset$ for each $(x, y) \in B((x^*, y^*); r_1)$, which implies $x^* \notin B(\overline{H}(x, y); r_2)$ for each $(x, y) \in B((x^*, y^*); r_1)$. Therefore, there

exists $N^* \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n_k > N^*$, $x^* \notin B(\overline{H}(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}); r_2)$, which contradicts $d(x^*, H(x_n^*, y_n^*)) \to 0$ when $n_k \to \infty$. We conclude that our assumption is false. Hence, $x^* \in \overline{H}(x^*, y^*)$.

The next step of the proof is to show that $(x^*, y^*) \notin A$. We firstly note that, according to *iv a)*, $x \notin \overline{P}(x, y)$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times Y$. Suppose, to the contrary, that $(x^*, y^*) \in A$. In this case, $x^* \in \overline{H}(x^*, y^*) = \overline{G}(x^*, y^*) \subseteq \overline{P}(x^*, y^*)$, which contradicts the assertion above. Thus, $(x^*, y^*) \notin A$. Therefore, $x^* \in \overline{K}(x^*)$, $y^* \in \mathrm{cl}T(x^*)$ and $G(x^*, y^*) = K(x^*) \cap P(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$.

Consequently, there exist $x^*, y^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in \overline{K}(x^*)$, $y^* \in \mathrm{cl}T(x^*)$ and $f(x^*, y^*, u) \nsubseteq -C(x^*)$ for each $u \in K(x^*)$.

We note that $f(x^*, y^*, u) \nsubseteq -C(x^*)$ implies $f(x^*, y^*, u) \nsubseteq -\mathrm{int}C(x^*)$ and then, the pair $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times Y$ is a solution of GVQEP.

Now, we recall the following important results.

Definition 2 *Let K be a subset of a topological vector space E. According to Hadžić ([9]), K is said to be of the Zima type if*

(Z) *for each neighborhood U* of 0 in E, *there exists a neighborhood V of the origin in E such that $co(V \cap (E - E)) \subset U$.*

In [18], Park established the following result.

Theorem 2 *(Theorem 3.1 in [18]) Let X be a convex subset of a topological vector space E. Let $T : X \rightrightarrows X$ be an upper semicontinuous (respectively a lower semicontinuous) correspondence with nonempty convex values such that $T(X)$ is of the Zima type.*

If $T(X)$ is totally bounded, then, for each neighborhood U of 0 in E, there exists a $U-$almost fixed point of T, that is, a point $x_U \in X$ such that $T(x_U) \cap (x_U + U) \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 3 is stated in terms of upper semicontinuity for $f(\cdot, \cdot, u) : X \times Y \rightrightarrows Z$ $(u \in X)$ and lower semicontinuity for K and T. By using the Park Theorem, we firstly prove the existence of the $U-$almost fixed points for a correspondence we construct. An approximation technique is used in order to prove our statement. We work on regular vector topological spaces (we drop the locally convexity). We also drop the property of properly $C-$ quasi convexity of $f(x, y, \cdot)$. All of these make the differences between Theorem 3 and Theorem 3.2.4 in [13]. In fact, our result is an improvement of the mentioned theorem. We underline that we use a different argument for the proof.

Theorem 3 *Let Z be a Hausdorff topological vector space and let X, Y be nonempty compact convex subsets of regular topological vector spaces E, respectively F. Assume that:*

i) $K : X \rightrightarrows X$ is a lower semicontinuous correspondence with nonempty convex open values such that $K(X)$ is of the Zima type and $\overline{K}$ has nonempty lower sections;

ii) $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ *is a lower semicontinuous correspondence with nonempty convex values such that* $T(X)$ *is of the Zima type and* $\overline{T}$ *has nonempty lower sections;*

iii) $C : X \rightrightarrows Z$ *is a correspondence such that, for all* $x \in X$, $C(x)$ *is a pointed closed convex cone with* $intC(x) \neq \emptyset$;

iv) $f : X \times Y \times X \rightrightarrows Z$ *is a correspondence with nonempty values which satisfies the following conditions:*

a) for all $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, $(x, y, x) \notin \mathrm{cl}\{(x, y, z) \in X \times Y \times X : z \in \mathrm{co}\{K(x) \cap \{u \in X : f(x, y, u) \subseteq -\mathrm{int}C(x)\}\}\}$;

b) $A = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y :$ *there exists* $u \in K(x)$ *such that* $f(x, y, u) \subseteq -intC(x)\}$ *is closed or empty;*

c) for each $u \in X$, $f(\cdot, \cdot, u) : X \times Y \rightrightarrows Z$ *is upper semicontinuous on* A;

d) the correspondence $Q : X \rightrightarrows Z$ *defined by* $Q(x) = Z \backslash (-C(x))$ *for each* $x \in X$ *is upper semicontinuous on* $pr_X A$ *(if* $A \neq \emptyset$*);*

e) for each $z \in X$, $\{(x, y) \in X \times Y : (x, y, z) \in \mathrm{cl}_{X \times Y \times X}\{(x, y, u) \in X \times Y \times X : f(x, y, u) \subseteq -\mathrm{int}C(x)$ *and* $u \in K(x)\}\}$ *is nonempty.*

Then, there exists a solution $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times Y$ *of GVQEP.*

Proof. The key idea of the proof is to use the Park Theorem. Towards this end, we need to construct the following correspondences.

Let $P, G : X \times Y \rightrightarrows X$ be defined by

$P(x, y) = \{u \in X : f(x, y, u) \subseteq -\mathrm{int}C(x)\}$, for each $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ and

$G(x, y) = K(x) \cap P(x, y)$, for each $(x, y) \in X \times Y$.

We are going to show that there exists a pair $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times Y$ such that $x^* \in \overline{K}(x^*)$, $y^* \in \overline{T}(x^*)$ and $K(x^*) \cap P(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$.

We consider two cases.

Case I.

$A = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : P(x, y) \cap K(x) \neq \emptyset\} = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : G(x, y) \neq \emptyset\} = \emptyset$.

In this case, $G(x, y) = \emptyset$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times Y$. We can apply the Park fixed point Theorem to K.

For each symmetric open neighborhood W of 0 in E, there exists a symmetric open neighborhood U of 0 in E such that $U + U \subset W$. According to the Park Theorem, for each such a neighborhood U, there exists points x_U, $y_U \in X$ such that $x_U \in T(x_U) + U$ and $x_U \in y_U + U$.

Since X is compact, $\{x_U\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{x_{U'}\}$. Let x^* be the limit of $\{x_{U'}\}$. It follows that $x^* \in T(x_{U'}) + W'$ for each symmetric open neighborhood U' of 0 with the property that $U' + U' \subset W'$.

Let us assume that $x^* \notin \overline{K}(x^*)$.We note that condition i) implies that $\overline{K}$ has nonempty lower sections. In addition, $\overline{K}$ has a closed graph, therefore, its upper and lower sections are closed. Since $\{x^*\} \cap \overline{K}^{-1}(x^*) = \emptyset$ and X is a regular space, there exists V_1 an open neighborhood of 0 such that $(x^* + V_1) \cap \overline{K}^{-1}(x^*) = \emptyset$. Consequently, for each $z \in (x^* + V_1)$, we have that $z \notin \overline{K}^{-1}(x^*)$, which is equivalent with $x^* \notin \overline{K}(z)$ or $\{x^*\} \cap \overline{K}(z) = \emptyset$. The closedness of each $\overline{K}(z)$ and the regularity of X imply the existence

of V_2, an open neighborhood of 0, such that $(x^* + V_2) \cap \overline{K}(z) = \emptyset$ for each $z \in x^* + V_1$, which implies $x^* \notin \overline{K}(z) + V_2$ for each $z \in x^* + V_1$. Let $V = V_1 \cap V_2$. Hence, $x^* \notin \overline{K}(z) + V$ for each $z \in x^* + V$, and then, there exists U^*, an open neighborhood of 0 such that for each symmetric open neighborhood of 0, U', with the property that $U' \subset U^*$, it is true that $x^* \notin \overline{K}(x_{U'}) + V$ and therefore, $x^* \notin \overline{K}(x_{U' \cap V}) + W' \cap V$. The last assertion contradicts $x^* \in K(x_{U'}) + W'$ for each symmetric open neighborhood U' of 0 with the property that $U' + U' \subset W'$. It follows that our assumption is false. Hence, $x^* \in \overline{K}(x^*)$.

Since T has nonempty values, we can pick $y^* \in T(x^*)$. Obviously, $G(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$. Consequently, the conclusion holds in Case I.

Case II.

$A = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : P(x, y) \cap K(x) \neq \emptyset\} = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : G(x, y) \neq \emptyset\}$ is nonempty and closed.

In this case, we firstly show that P is lower semicontinuous on A with nonempty values. In order to do this, we are going to show that for each closed set V in X, $W_0 = \{(x, y) \in A : P(x, y) \subset V\}$ is closed.

We notice that $W_0 = \{(x, y) \in A : P(x, y) \subset V\} = \{(x, y) \in A : \{u \in X : f(x, y, u) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x)\} \subset V\}$.

Let $(x_n, y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W_0$, such that $(x_n, y_n) \to (x_0, y_0)$. It implies that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{u \in X : f(x_n, y_n, u) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x_n)\} \subset V$. We want to prove that $(x_0, y_0) \in W_0$, which is equivalent with $\{u \in X : f(x_0, y_0, u) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x_0)\} \subset V$. If there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{u \in X : f(x_0, y_0, u) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x_0)\} \subset \{u \in X : f(x_{n_0}, y_{n_0}, u) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x_{n_0})\}$, the last assertion is true.

Let $u_0 \in X$, with the property that $f(x_0, y_0, u_0) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x_0)$. Since $f(\cdot, \cdot, u_0)$ is upper semicontinuous on A, there exists an open neighborhood $W = U \times V$ of (x_0, y_0) in A such that $f(x, y, u_0) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x_0)$ for each $(x, y) \in U \times V$. Then, there exists $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(x_n, y_n, u_0) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x_0)$ for each $n > N_1$. The correspondence $Q : X \rightrightarrows Z$ is upper semicontinuous on $\text{pr}_X A$ and then, there exists an open neighborhood U_0 of x_0 in $\text{pr}_X A$ such that for each $x \in U_0$, $Q(x) \subseteq Q(x_0)$ or, $-C(x_0) \subseteq -C(x)$. Then, there exists $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $-C(x_0) \subseteq -C(x_n)$ for each $n > N_2$. Let $N = \max(N_1.N_2)$. Therefore, $f(x_n, y_n, u_0) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x_n)$ for each $n > N$. Consequently, $\{u \in X : f(x_0, y_0, u) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x_0)\} \subset \{u \in X : f(x_n, y_n, u) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x_n)\}$ for each $n > N$ and then, $\{u \in X : f(x_0, y_0, u) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x_0)\} \subset V$. We conclude that $(x_0, y_0) \in W_0$, W_0 is closed and P is lower semicontinuous on A.

The correspondence $G : A \rightrightarrows X$, defined by $G(x, y) = K(x) \cap P(x, y)$ for each $(x, y) \in A$, is lower semicontinuous on A, since K and P are lower semicontinuous with nonempty values, K has open values and G is nonempty valued.

Further, let us define the correspondence $H : X \times Y \rightrightarrows X$ by

$$H(x, y) = \begin{cases} \text{co}G(x, y), & \text{if } (x, y) \in A; \\ K(x), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \text{co}(K(x) \cap P(x, y)), & \text{if } (x, y) \in A; \\ K(x), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

According to Lemma 1, the correspondence H is lower semicontinuous on $X \times Y$. In addition, it has nonempty and convex values.

If $K(X)$ is of the Zima type and $H(x,y) \subseteq K(x)$ for each $(x,y) \in X \times Y$, it can be shown easily that $H(X \times Y)$ is also of the Zima type.

Let us define $M : X \times Y \rightrightarrows X \times Y$ by $M(x,y) = (H(x,y), T(x))$ for each $(x,y) \in X \times Y$.

The correspondence M is lower semicontinuous with nonempty convex values. We note that $M(X,Y)$ is totally bounded.

We will prove that M is of the Zima type. We notice that $M(X \times Y) = H(X \times Y) \times T(X)$. We know that the sets $H(X \times Y)$ and $T(X)$ are of the Zima type and therefore, for each neighborhoods U_1 and U_2 of 0 in E, respectively F, there exist the neighborhoods V_1 and V_2 of 0 in E, respectively F, such that

$\text{co}(V_1 \cap (H(X \times Y) - H(X \times Y)) \subset U_1$ and $\text{co}(V_2 \cap (T(X) - T(X)) \subset U_2$.

Therefore, $\text{co}((V_1 \times V_2) \cap (H(X \times Y) \times T(X) - H(X \times Y) \times T(X)) \subseteq$

$\subseteq \text{co}((V_1 \times V_2) \cap (H(X \times Y) - H(X \times Y) \times (T(X) - T(X))) \subseteq$

$\subseteq \text{co}(V_1 \cap (H(X \times Y) - H(X \times Y)) \times (V_2 \cap (T(X) - T(X)) \subseteq$

$\subseteq \text{co}(V_1 \cap (H(X \times Y) - H(X \times Y)) \times \text{co}(V_2 \cap (T(X) - T(X)) \subseteq U_1 \times U_2$.

It follows that for each for each neighborhood $U_1 \times U_2$ of $(0,0)$ in $E \times F$, there exists a neighborhood $V_1 \times V_2$ of $(0,0)$ in $E \times F$, such that $\text{co}((V_1 \times V_2) \cap (H(X \times Y) \times T(X) - H(X \times Y) \times T(X)) \subseteq U_1 \times U_2$, that is, M is of the Zima type.

Then, according to the Park Theorem, there exists a U-almost fixed point of M, that is, for each neighborhood $W = (U,V)$ of $(0,0)$ in $X \times Y$, there exists a point (x_U, y_V) such that $M(x_U, y_V) \cap ((x_U, y_V) + (U,V)) \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $H(x_U, y_V) \cap (x_U + U) \neq \emptyset$ and $T(x_U) \cap (y_V + V) \neq \emptyset$.

For each symmetric open neighborhood $W = (W_1, W_2)$ of $(0,0)$ in $E \times F$, there exist symmetric open neighborhoods U and V of the origin in E, respectively F, such that $(U,V) + (U,V) \subset W$. Then, there exist points x_U, $y_V, z_V \in X$ such that $z_V \in T(x_U) + V$ and $z_V \in y_V + V$.

X and Y are compact sets. Therefore, $\{x_U\}_U$ and $\{y_V\}_V$ have convergent subsequences $\{x_{U'}\}$, respectively, $\{y_{V'}\}$. Let x^* be the limit of $\{x_{U'}\}$ and y^* be the limit of $\{y_{V'}\}$. It follows that $y^* \in T(x_{U'}) + W_2'$ for each symmetric open neighborhood U' of 0 in E with the property that $(U',V') + (U',V') \subset W'$, where V' is a symmetric open neighborhood of 0 in F and $W' = (W_1', W_2')$ is a symmetric open neighborhood of $(0,0)$ in $E \times F$. We claim that $y^* \in \overline{T}(x^*)$.

Let us assume, to the contrary, that $y^* \notin \overline{T}(x^*)$. We note that condition ii) implies that $\overline{T}$ has nonempty lower sections. In addition, $\overline{T}$ has a closed graph, therefore, its upper and lower sections are closed. Since $\{x^*\} \cap \overline{T}^{-1}(y^*) = \emptyset$ and E is a regular space, there exists U_0 an open neighborhood of 0 in E such that $(x^* + U_0) \cap \overline{T}^{-1}(y^*) = \emptyset$. In this case, we remark that, for each $z \in (x^* + U_0)$, we have $z \notin \overline{T}^{-1}(y^*)$. As result, we notice that $y^* \notin \overline{T}(z)$ or $\{y^*\} \cap \overline{T}(z) = \emptyset$. The closedness of each $\overline{T}(z)$ and the regularity of F imply the existence of V_0, an open neighborhood of 0

in F, such that $(y^* + V_0) \cap \overline{T}(z) = \emptyset$ for each $z \in x^* + U_0$, which further implies $y^* \notin \overline{T}(z) + V_0$ for each $z \in x^* + U_0$. Then, there exists U^*, an open neighborhoods of the origin in E, such that for each symmetric open neighborhood U' of the origin in E, with the property that $U' \subset U^*$, it is true that $y^* \notin \overline{T}(x_{U'}) + V_0$ and therefore, $y^* \notin \overline{T}(x_{U' \cap U_0}) + W_2' \cap V_0$. The last assertion contradicts $y^* \in \overline{T}(x_{U'}) + W_2'$ for each symmetric open neighborhood U' of 0 in E with the property that $(U', V') + (U', V') \subset W'$, where V' is a symmetric open neighborhood of 0 in F and $W' = (W_1', W_2')$ is a symmetric open neighborhood of $(0, 0)$ in $E \times F$. It follows that our assumption is false. Hence, $y^* \in \overline{T}(x^*)$.

Similarly, we can show that $x^* \in \overline{H}(x^*, y^*)$. For this, we take into account that *iv f)* implies that $\overline{H}$ has nonempty lower sections.

The next step of the proof is to show that $(x^*, y^*) \notin A$. Suppose, to the contrary, that $(x^*, y^*) \in A$. Then, $x^* \in \overline{H}(x^*, y^*) = \overline{G}(x^*, y^*)$, which contradicts *iv a)*. Thus, $(x^*, y^*) \notin A$. Therefore, $x^* \in \overline{K}(x^*)$, $y^* \in \overline{T}(x^*)$ and $G(x^*, y^*) = K(x^*) \cap P(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$.

Consequently, there exist $x^*, y^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in \overline{K}(x^*)$, $y^* \in \overline{T}(x^*)$ and $f(x^*, y^*, u) \nsubseteq -\text{int}C(x^*)$ for each $u \in K(x^*)$.

Remark 1 Instead of assuming that K has open values, we can assume that for each $(x, y) \in A$, $\{u \in X : f(x, y, u) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x)\}$ is open, that is, $P_{|A}$ has open values. One of these two conditions is needed in order to obtain the lower semicontinuity of $P_{|A}$.

4 Applications of the KKM principle to the equilibrium problems

Many essential results of the equilibrium theory can be derived from the KKM principle. We recall the KKM principle here. We note that its open version is due to Kim [11] and Shih and Tan [19].

Let X be a subset of a topological vector space and D a nonempty subset of X such that co$D \subset X$.

$T : D \rightrightarrows X$ is called a *KKM correspondence* if co$N \subset T(N)$ for each $N \in \langle D \rangle$, where $\langle D \rangle$ denotes the class of all nonempty finite subsets of D.

KKM principle Let D be a set of vertices of a simplex S and $T : D \rightrightarrows 2^S$ a correspondence with closed (respectively open) values such that
co$N \subset T(N)$ for each $N \subset D$.
Then, $\bigcap_{z \in D} T(z) \neq \emptyset$.

The following lemma is a consequence of the KKM principle. It will be used to obtain new existence results for generalized vector equilibrium problems in this section.

Lemma 3 *Let X be a subset of a topological vector space, D a nonempty subset of X such that co$D \subset X$ and $T : D \rightrightarrows X$ a KKM correspondence with*

closed (respectively open) values. Then $\{T(z)\}_{z\in D}$ *has the finite intersection property.*

In this section, we approach a particular case of the problem considered in Section 3.

Let X be a nonempty subset of a topological vector space E and let Z be a topological vector space. Let $C \subset Z$ be a pointed closed convex cone with nonempty interior. Let $K : X \rightrightarrows X$ and $f : X \times X \rightrightarrows Z$ be correspondences with nonempty values. We consider the following generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problem:

(GVQEP 2): Find $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in K(x^*)$ and $f(x^*, y) \nsubseteq -\text{int}C$ for each $y \in K(x^*)$.

Now, we are establishing an existence theorem for a generalized vector equilibrium problem by using Lemma 3.

Theorem 4 *Let Z be a Hausdorff topological vector space and let X be a nonempty compact convex subset of a topological vector space E. Let $C \subset Z$ be a pointed closed convex cone with nonempty interior and let $K : X \rightrightarrows X$ and $f : X \times X \rightrightarrows Z$ be correspondences with nonempty values. Assume that:*

i) K is open valued and for each $x \in X$, the set $\{u \in X : f(x,u) \subseteq -intC\}$ is open;

ii) $f(x,x) \nsubseteq -intC$ for each $x \in X$;

iii) there exists $M \in \langle X\rangle$ such that $\bigcup_{x\in M\cap A}[\{u \in X : f(x,u) \subseteq -intC\} \cap K(x)] \bigcup \bigcup_{x\in M\setminus A} K(x) = X$, where $A = \{x \in X :$ there exists $u \in K(x)$ such that $f(x,u) \subseteq -intC\}$;

iv) $K^{-1} : X \rightrightarrows X$ is convex valued and for each $u \in X$, the set $\{x \in X : f(x,u) \subseteq -intC\} \cup (X\setminus A)$ is convex;

Then, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in K(x^*)$ and $f(x^*, y) \nsubseteq -intC$ for each $y \in K(x^*)$.

Proof. Let $P, G : X \rightrightarrows X$ be defined by

$P(x) = \{u \in X : f(x,u) \subseteq -\text{int}C\}$, for each $x \in X$ and

$G(x) = K(x) \cap P(x)$, for each $x \in X$.

We are going to show that there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in K(x^*)$ and $K(x^*) \cap P(x^*) = \emptyset$.

We consider two cases.

Case I.

$A = \{x \in X : P(x) \cap K(x) \neq \emptyset\} = \{x \in X : G(x) \neq \emptyset\}$ is nonempty.

The correspondence $G : A \rightrightarrows X$, defined by $G(x) = K(x) \cap P(x)$ for each $x \in A$, is nonempty valued on A. We note that for each $u \in X$, $G^{-1}(u) = P^{-1}(u) \cap K^{-1}(u)$ is a convex set as intersection of convex sets.

Further, let us define the correspondence $H : X \rightrightarrows X$ by

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} G(x), & \text{if } x \in A; \\ K(x), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} K(x) \cap P(x), & \text{if } x \in A; \\ K(x), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

According to i), H is open valued and according to iv), for each $u \in X$, $P^{-1}(u) \cup (X\setminus A)$ is convex.

For each $u \in X$,

$H^{-1}(u) = \{x \in X : u \in H(x)\} =$

$= \{x \in A : u \in G(x)\} \cup \{x \in X \setminus A : u \in K(x)\} =$

$= \{x \in A : u \in K(x) \cap P(x)\} \cup \{x \in X \setminus A : \ u \in K(x)\}$

$= [A \cap P^{-1}(u) \cap K^{-1}(u)] \cup [(X \setminus A) \cap K^{-1}(u)] =$

$= [P^{-1}(u) \cup (X \setminus A)] \cap K^{-1}(u).$

$H^{-1}(u)$ is convex, since $P^{-1}(u) \cup (X \setminus A)$ and $K^{-1}(u)$ are convex sets.

Assumption iii) implies that there exists $M \in \langle X \rangle$ such that $\bigcup_{x \in M} H(x) = X$.

Let us define $F : X \rightrightarrows X$ by $F(x) := X \setminus H(x)$ for each $x \in X$.

Then, F is closed valued and $\bigcap_{x \in M} F(x) = X \setminus \bigcup_{x \in M}(H(x)) = \emptyset$.

According to Lemma 3, we conclude that F is not a KKM correspondence. Thus, there exists $N \in \langle X \rangle$ such that $\mathrm{co}N \subsetneq F(N) = \bigcup_{x \in N}(X \setminus H(x))$.

Hence, there exists $x^* \in \mathrm{co}N$ with the property that $x^* \in H(x)$ for each $x \in N$. Therefore, there exists $x^* \in \mathrm{co}N$ such that $x^* \in H(x)$ for each $x \in N$, which implies $N \subset H^{-1}(x^*)$. Further, it is true that $\mathrm{co}N \subset \mathrm{co}H^{-1}(x^*) = H^{-1}(x^*)$. Consequently, $x^* \in \mathrm{co}N \subset \mathrm{co}H^{-1}(x^*) = H^{-1}(x^*)$, which means that $x^* \in H(x^*)$, that is, x^* is a fixed point for H.

We notice that, according to *ii*), $x \notin P(x)$ for each $x \in X$, and then, $x^* \notin A$. Therefore, $x^* \in K(x^*)$ and $G(x^*) = K(x^*) \cap P(x^*) = \emptyset$.

Consequently, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in K(x^*)$ and $f(x^*, u) \nsubseteq -\mathrm{int}C$ for each $u \in K(x^*)$.

Case II.

$A = \{x \in X : P(x) \cap K(x) \neq \emptyset\} = \{x \in X : G(x) \neq \emptyset\} = \emptyset$.

In this case, $G(x) = \emptyset$ for each $x \in X$.

Let us define $F : X \rightrightarrows X$ by $F(x) := X \setminus K(x)$ for each $x \in X$. The proof follows the same line as above and we obtain that there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in K(x^*)$.

Obviously, $G(x^*) = \emptyset$. Consequently, the conclusion holds in Case I.

Remark 2 Assumption i) can be replaced with

i') K is closed valued and for each $x \in X$, the set $\{u \in X : f(x, u) \subseteq -\mathrm{int}C\}$ is closed.

In this case, F is open valued.

The next theorem can be obtained easily by following a similar reasoning as in the above result.

Theorem 5 *Let Z be a Hausdorff topological vector space and let X be a nonempty compact convex subset of a topological vector space E. Let $C \subset Z$ be a pointed closed convex cone with nonempty interior and let $K : X \rightrightarrows X$ and $f : X \times X \rightrightarrows Z$ be correspondences with nonempty values. Assume that:*

i) K is open (resp. closed) valued and for each $x \in X$, the set $\{u \in X : f(x, u) \cap intC = \emptyset\}$ is open (resp. closed);

ii) $f(x, x) \cap intC = \emptyset$ for each $x \in X$;

iii) there exists $M \in \langle X \rangle$ *such that* $\bigcup_{x \in M \cap A}[\{u \in X : f(x,u) \cap intC = \emptyset\} \cap K(x)] \bigcup \bigcup_{x \in M \setminus A} K(x) = X$, *where* $A = \{x \in X :$ *there exists* $u \in K(x)$ *such that* $f(x,u) \cap intC = \emptyset\}$;

iv) $K^{-1} : X \rightrightarrows X$ *is convex valued and for each* $u \in X$, *the set* $\{x \in X : f(x,u) \cap intC = \emptyset\} \cup (X \setminus A)$ *is convex*;

Then, there exists $x^* \in X$ *such that* $x^* \in K(x^*)$ *and* $f(x^*, y) \cap intC \neq \emptyset$ *for each* $y \in K(x^*)$.

Proof. Let $P, G : X \rightrightarrows X$ be defined by

$P(x) = \{u \in X : f(x,u) \cap \text{int}C = \emptyset\}$, for each $x \in X$ and

$G(x) = K(x) \cap P(x)$, for each $x \in X$.

We are going to show that there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in K(x^*)$ and $K(x^*) \cap P(x^*) = \emptyset$.

The rest of the proof follows the same line as the proof of Theorem 4.

We obtain a new theorem concerning the existence of solutions for a generalized vector equilibrium problem.

Theorem 6 *Let* Z *be a Hausdorff topological vector space and let* X *be a nonempty compact convex subset of a topological vector space* E. *Let* $C \subset Z$ *be a pointed closed convex cone with nonempty interior and let* $K : X \rightrightarrows X$ *and* $f : X \times X \rightrightarrows Z$ *be correspondences with nonempty values. Assume that:*

i) K *is open valued and for each* $x \in X$, *the set* $\{u \in X : f(x,u) \subseteq -intC\}$ *is open;*

ii) $f(x,x) \nsubseteq -intC$ *for each* $x \in X$;

iii) if $A = \{x \in X :$ *there exists* $u \in K(x)$ *such that* $f(x,u) \subseteq -intC\}$, *then for each* $N \in \langle A \rangle$, $(coN \setminus N) \cap A = \emptyset$;

iv) there exists $M \in \langle A \rangle$ *such that* $\bigcup_{x \in M} \{u \in X : f(x,u) \subseteq -intC\} \cap K(x) = X$;

v) $K^{-1} : X \rightrightarrows X$ *is convex valued and for each* $u \in X$, *the set* $\{x \in X : f(x,u) \subseteq -intC\}$ *is convex*;

Then, there exists $x^* \in X$ *such that* $x^* \in K(x^*)$ *and* $f(x^*, y) \nsubseteq -intC$ *for each* $y \in K(x^*)$.

Proof. Let $P, G : X \rightrightarrows X$ be defined by

$P(x) = \{u \in X : f(x,u) \subseteq -\text{int}C\}$, for each $x \in X$ and

$G(x) = K(x) \cap P(x)$, for each $x \in X$.

We are going to show that there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in K(x^*)$ and $K(x^*) \cap P(x^*) = \emptyset$.

We consider two cases.

Case I.

$A = \{x \in X : P(x) \cap K(x) \neq \emptyset\} = \{x \in X : G(x) \neq \emptyset\}$ is nonempty.

The correspondence $G : A \rightrightarrows X$, defined by $G(x) = K(x) \cap P(x)$ for each $x \in A$, is nonempty valued on A. We note that for each $u \in X$, $G^{-1}(u) = P^{-1}(u) \cap K^{-1}(u)$ is a convex set since it is an intersection of convex sets.

Further, let us define the correspondences $H, L : X \rightrightarrows X$ by

$H(x) = \begin{cases} G(x), \text{ if } x \in A; \\ \emptyset, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$ and

$L(x) = \begin{cases} G(x), & \text{if } x \in A; \\ K(x), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

According to i), H is open valued.

For each $u \in X$,

$H^{-1}(u) = \{x \in X : u \in H(x)\} =$
$= \{x \in A : u \in G(x)\} =$
$= \{x \in A : u \in K(x) \cap P(x)\}$
$= A \cap P^{-1}(u) \cap K^{-1}(u) =$
$= P^{-1}(u) \cap K^{-1}(u).$

$L^{-1}(u) = \{x \in X : u \in L(x)\} =$
$= \{x \in A : u \in G(x)\} \cup \{x \in X \setminus A : u \in K(x)\} =$
$= \{x \in A : u \in K(x) \cap P(x)\} \cup \{x \in X \setminus A : u \in K(x)\}$
$= (A \cap P^{-1}(u) \cap K^{-1}(u) \cup [(X \setminus A) \cap K^{-1}(u)] =$
$= [P^{-1}(u) \cup (X \setminus A)] \cap K^{-1}(u).$

Since for each $u \in X$, $K^{-1}(u)$ and$P^{-1}(u)$ are convex, then, $H^{-1}(u)$ is convex. Therefore, co$H^{-1}(u) \subset L^{-1}(u)$ for each $u \in X$.

Assumption iii) implies that there exists $M \in \langle A \rangle$ such that $\bigcup_{x \in M} H(x) = X$.

Let us define $F : X \rightrightarrows X$ by $F(x) := X \setminus H(x)$ for each $x \in X$.

Then, F is closed valued and $\bigcap_{x \in M} F(x) = X \setminus \bigcup_{x \in M}(H(x)) = \emptyset$.

According to Lemma 3, we can conclude that F is not a KKM correspondence. Thus, there exists $N \in \langle X \rangle$ such that co$N \subsetneq F(N) = \bigcup_{x \in N}(X \setminus H(x))$.

Hence, there exists $x^* \in$co$N$ with the property that $x^* \in H(x)$ for each $x \in N$. Therefore, there exists $x^* \in$co$N$ such that $x^* \in H(x)$ for each $x \in N$, which implies $N \subset H^{-1}(x^*)$. Further, it is true that co$N \subset$co$H^{-1}(x^*) \subset L^{-1}(x^*)$. Consequently, $x^* \in$co$N \subset$co$H^{-1}(x^*) \subset L^{-1}(x^*)$, which means that $x^* \in L(x^*)$, that is, $x^* \in$co$N$ is a fixed point for L.

We notice that, according to *ii*), $x \notin P(x)$ for each $x \in X$, and then, $x^* \notin A$. This fact is possible since $x^* \in$co$N$ and assumption iii) asserts that (co$N \setminus N) \cap A = \emptyset$. Therefore, $x^* \in K(x^*)$ and $G(x^*) = K(x^*) \cap P(x^*) = \emptyset$.

Consequently, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in K(x^*)$ and $f(x^*, u) \nsubseteq -\text{int}C$ for each $u \in K(x^*)$.

Case II.

$A = \{x \in X : P(x) \cap K(x) \neq \emptyset\} = \{x \in X : G(x) \neq \emptyset\} = \emptyset$.

In this case, $G(x) = \emptyset$ for each $x \in X$.

Let us define $F : X \rightrightarrows X$ by $F(x) := X \setminus K(x)$ for each $x \in X$. The proof follows the same line as above and we obtain that there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in K(x^*)$.

Obviously, $G(x^*) = \emptyset$. Consequently, the conclusion holds in Case II.

Remark 3 Assumption i) can be replaced with

i') K is closed valued and for each $x \in X$, the set $\{u \in X : f(x, u) \subseteq -\text{int}C\}$ is closed.

In this case, F is open valued.

Theorem 7 can be stated as follows.

Theorem 7 *Let Z be a Hausdorff topological vector space and let X be a nonempty compact convex subset of a topological vector space E. Let $C \subset Z$ be a pointed closed convex cone with nonempty interior and let $K : X \rightrightarrows X$ and $f : X \times X \rightrightarrows Z$ be correspondences with nonempty values. Assume that:*

i) K is open (resp. closed) valued and for each $x \in X$, the set $\{u \in X : f(x,u) \cap intC = \emptyset\}$ is open (resp.closed);

ii) $f(x,x) \cap intC = \emptyset$ for each $x \in X$;

iii) if $A = \{x \in X :$ there exists $u \in K(x)$ such that $f(x,u) \cap intC = \emptyset\}$, then for each $N \in \langle A \rangle$, $(coN \setminus N) \cap A = \emptyset$;

iv) there exists $M \in \langle A \rangle$ such that $\bigcup_{x \in M} \{u \in X : f(x,u) \cap intC = \emptyset\} \cap K(x) = X$;

v) $K^{-1} : X \rightrightarrows X$ is convex valued and for each $u \in X$, the set $\{x \in X : f(x,u) \cap intC = \emptyset\}$ is convex.

Then, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in K(x^*)$ and $f(x^*, y) \cap intC \neq \emptyset$ for each $y \in K(x^*)$.

Proof. Let $P, G : X \rightrightarrows X$ be defined by

$P(x) = \{u \in X : f(x,u) \cap \text{int}C = \emptyset\}$, for each $x \in X$ and

$G(x) = K(x) \cap P(x)$, for each $x \in X$.

We are going to show that there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in K(x^*)$ and $K(x^*) \cap P(x^*) = \emptyset$.

The rest of the proof follows a similar line as the proof of Theorem 6.

Now, we are proving the existence of solutions for a general vector equilibrium problem concerning correspondences under new assumptions.

Theorem 8 *Let Z be a Hausdorff topological vector space and let X be a nonempty compact convex subset of a topological vector space E. Let $C \subset Z$ be a pointed closed convex cone with nonempty interior and let $K : X \rightrightarrows X$ and $f : X \times X \rightrightarrows Z$ be correspondences with nonempty values. Assume that:*

i) K is open valued and for each $(x,y) \in X \times X$ with the property that $f(x,y) \subseteq -intC$, there exists $z = z_{x,y} \in X$ such that $y \in int_X \{u \in X : f(z_{x,y}, u) \subseteq -\text{int}C\}$;

ii) $f(x,x) \nsubseteq -intC$ for each $x \in X$;

iii) there exists $M \in \langle X \rangle$ such that $\bigcup_{x \in M \cap A} [\bigcup_{y \in K(x), f(x,y) \subseteq -\text{int}C} (int_X \{u \in X : f(z_{x,y}, u) \subseteq -intC\} \cap K(x)] \bigcup \bigcup_{x \in M \setminus A} K(x) = X$, where $A = \{x \in X :$ there exists $u \in K(x)$ such that $f(x,u) \subseteq -intC\}$;

iv) for each $u \in X$, the set $\{x \in X : f(x,u) \subseteq -intC\} \cup [(X \setminus A) \cap (K^{-1}(u)]$ is convex;

v) for each $x \in A$, $\bigcup_{y \in K(x), f(x,y) \subseteq -\text{int}C} int_X \{u \in X : f(z_{x,y}, u) \subseteq -intC\} \subset \{u \in X : f(x,u) \subseteq -\text{int}C\}$;

Then, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in K(x^*)$ and $f(x^*, y) \nsubseteq -intC$ for each $y \in K(x^*)$.

Proof. Let $P, G : X \rightrightarrows X$ be defined by

$P(x) = \{u \in X : f(x,u) \subseteq -\text{int}C\}$, for each $x \in X$ and
$G(x) = K(x) \cap P(x)$, for each $x \in X$.

We are going to show that there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in K(x^*)$ and $K(x^*) \cap P(x^*) = \emptyset$.

We consider two cases.

Case I.

$A = \{x \in X : P(x) \cap K(x) \neq \emptyset\} = \{x \in X : G(x) \neq \emptyset\}$ is nonempty.

The correspondence $G : A \rightrightarrows X$, defined by $G(x) = K(x) \cap P(x)$ for each $x \in A$, is nonempty valued on A.

Further, let us define the correspondences $H, L : X \rightrightarrows X$ by

$H(x) = \begin{cases} G(x), \text{ if } x \in A; \\ K(x), \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$ and

$L(x) = \begin{cases} P(x), \text{ if } x \in A; \\ K(x), \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$

For each $u \in X$,

$$L^{-1}(u) = \{x \in X : u \in L(x)\} =$$
$$= \{x \in A : u \in P(x)\} \cup \{x \in X \setminus A : u \in K(x)\} =$$
$$= (A \cap P^{-1}(u)) \cup [(X \setminus A) \cap K^{-1}(u)] =$$
$$= P^{-1}(u) \cup [(X \setminus A) \cap K^{-1}(u)].$$

According to i) G is transfer-open valued. Assumptions i) and iii) imply that there exists $M \in \langle X \rangle$ and for each $x \in M$ and $y \in H(x)$, there exists $z_{x,y} \in X$ such that $y \in \text{int}_X H(z_{x,y}) \cap H(x)$ and $\bigcup_{x \in M}(\bigcup_{y \in H(x)} \text{int}_X H(z_{x,y})) = X$. In addition, assumption v) implies $\bigcup_{y \in H(x)} \text{int}_X H(z_{x,y}) \subseteq P(x)$ for each $x \in A$. We note that if $x \in X \setminus A$, then $H(x) = K(x)$ is open and $y \in H(x)$ implies $z_{x,y} = x$ and $y \in H(z_{x.y}) = \text{int}H(x)$. In this last case it is obvious that $\bigcup_{y \in H(x)} \text{int}_X H(z_{x,y}) = \bigcup_{y \in H(x)} \text{int}_X H(x) = \bigcup_{y \in H(x)} H(x) = H(x)$.

Let us define $F : X \rightrightarrows X$ by $F(x) := X \setminus \bigcup_{y \in H(x)} \text{int}_X H(z_{x,y})$ for each $x \in H$.

Then, F is closed valued and $\bigcap_{x \in M} F(x) = X \setminus \bigcup_{x \in M}(\bigcup_{y \in H(x)} \text{int}_X H(z_{x,y})) = \emptyset$.

According to Lemma 3, we can conclude that F is not a KKM correspondence. Thus, there exists $N \in \langle X \rangle$ such that $\text{co}N \subsetneq F(N) = \bigcup_{x \in N}(X \setminus \bigcup_{y \in H(x)} \text{int}_X H(z_{x,y}))$.

Hence, there exists $x^* \in \text{co}N$ with the property that $x^* \in \bigcup_{y \in H(x)} \text{int}_X H(z_{x,y})$ for each $x \in N$. If $x \in A$, $\bigcup_{y \in H(x)} \text{int}_X H(z_{x,y}) \subset P(x)$ and if $x \in X \setminus A$, $\bigcup_{y \in H(x)} \text{int}_X H(z_{x,y}) = H(x)$. Therefore, there exists $x^* \in \text{co}N$ such that $x^* \in L(x)$ for each $x \in N$, which implies $N \subset L^{-1}(x^*)$. Further, it is true that $\text{co}N \subset \text{co}L^{-1}(x^*) = L^{-1}(x^*)$. Consequently, $x^* \in \text{co}N \subset \text{co}L^{-1}(x^*) = L^{-1}(x^*)$, which means that $x^* \in L(x^*)$. We notice that, according to $ii)$, $x \notin P(x)$ for each $x \in X$, and then, $x^* \notin A$. Therefore, $x^* \in K(x^*)$ and $G(x^*) = K(x^*) \cap P(x^*) = \emptyset$.

Consequently, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in K(x^*)$ and $f(x^*, u) \nsubseteq -\text{int}C$ for each $u \in K(x^*)$.

Case II.

$A = \{x \in X : P(x) \cap K(x) \neq \emptyset\} = \{x \in X : G(x) \neq \emptyset\} = \emptyset$.

In this case, $G(x) = \emptyset$ for each $x \in X$. Let us define $F : X \rightrightarrows X$ by $F(x) := X \backslash K(x)$ for each $x \in X$. The proof follows the same line as above and we obtain that there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in K(x^*)$.

Obviously, $G(x^*) = \emptyset$. Consequently, the conclusion holds in Case II.

Now, we are establishing Theorem 9.

Theorem 9 *Let Z be a Hausdorff topological vector space and let X be a nonempty compact convex subset of a topological vector space E. Let $C \subset Z$ be a pointed closed convex cone with nonempty interior and let $K : X \rightrightarrows X$ and $f : X \times X \rightrightarrows Z$ be correspondences with nonempty values. Assume that:*

i) K is open valued and for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$ with the property that $f(x, y) \cap intC = \emptyset$, there exists $z = z_{x,y} \in X$ such that $y \in int_X\{u \in X : f(z_{x,y}, u) \cap intC = \emptyset\}$;

ii) $f(x, x) \cap intC = \emptyset$ for each $x \in X$;

iii) there exists $M \in \langle X \rangle$ such that $\bigcup_{x \in M \cap A}[\bigcup_{y \in K(x), f(x,y) \cap \mathrm{int}C = \emptyset}(int_X\{u \in X : f(z_{x,y}, u) \cap intC = \emptyset\} \cap K(x)] \bigcup \bigcup_{x \in M \backslash A} K(x) = X$, where $A = \{x \in X :$ there exists $u \in K(x)$ such that $f(x, u) \cap intC = \emptyset\}$;

iv) for each $u \in X$, the set $\{x \in X : f(x, u) \cap intC = \emptyset\} \cup [(X \backslash A) \cap (K^{-1}(u)]$ is convex;

v) for each $x \in A$, $\bigcup_{y \in K(x), f(x,y) \cap \mathrm{int}C = \emptyset} int_X\{u \in X : f(z_{x,y}, u) \cap intC = \emptyset\} \subset \{u \in X : f(x, u) \subseteq -\mathrm{int}C\}$;

Then, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in K(x^*)$ and $f(x^*, y) \cap intC \neq \emptyset$ for each $y \in K(x^*)$.

5 CONCLUDING REMARKS

In the first part of this paper, we have proved the existence of solutions for generalized quasi-equilibrium problems on Banach spaces. The involved correspondences are almost lower semicontinuous. Then, we have established a new result in topological vector spaces, in the case of lower semicontinuity of the correspondences. Our research extends on some results which exist in literature and is based on fixed point theorems. In the second part, we have obtained new equilibrium theorems by applying the KKM principle. This study will be continued by considering abstract convex spaces and generalized KKM theorems.

References

1. Q.H. Ansari, J.C. Yao, An existence result for the generalized vector equilibrium, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 12 (1999) 53–56.
2. Q. H. Ansari, A. P. Farajzadeh, S. Schaible, Existence of solutions of strong vector equilibrium problems, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics* 16, *1*, (2012) 165-178

3. E. E. A. Batista, G. C. Bento , O. P. Ferreira, An Existence Result for the Generalized Vector Equilibrium Problem on Hadamard Manifolds, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications ,167 (2015), 550-557
4. G. Bigi, A. Capătă and G. Kassay, Existence results for strong vector equilibrium problems and their applications, *Optimization,* 61, *5* (2012), 567-583.
5. M. Castellani, M. Giuli, Refinements of existence results for relaxed quasimonotone equilibrium problems, Journal of Global Optimization , 57 (2013), 1213-1227
6. Deutsch F. and Kenderov P., *Continuous selections and approximate selection for set-valued mappings and applications to metric projections.* SIAM J. Math.Anal. **14 (1983),** 185-194
7. A.P. Farajzadeh and J. Zafarani, Equilibrium problems and variational inequalities in topological vector spaces, *Optimization 59, 4*, (2010), 485-499.
8. A. Farajzadeh, B. S. Lee , S. Plubteing, On Generalized Quasi-Vector Equilibrium Problems via Scalarization Method, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, 168 (2016), 584-599
9. Hadžić O., Some *fixed point and almost fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in topological vector spaces,* Nonlinear Anal. TMA 5 (1981), 1009-1019.
10. S.H. Hou, X.H. Gong, X.M. Yang, Existence and stability of solutions for generalized strong vector equilibrium problems with trifunctions, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 146, *2*, (2010) 387-398.
11. Kim W. K., *Some applications of the Kakutani fixed point theorem,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **121 (1987),** 119-122
12. Y. C. Lin, On generalized vector equilibrium problems, *Nonlinear Analysis* 70 (2009) 1040–1048.
13. L.J. Lin, L.F. Chen and Q.H. Ansari, Generalized abstract economy and systems of generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problems, *J. Comput. Appl. Math* 208 (2007), 341-352.
14. Q.-M. Liu, L. Fan, G. Wang, Generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problems with set-valued mappings. *Appl. Math. Lett.,* 21 (9), (2008), 946-950
15. X.J. Long, N. J. Huang and K. L. Teo, Existence and stability of solutions for generalized strong vector quasi-equilibrium problem, *Math. Comp. Model.* 47 (2008), 445-451.
16. X.-J. Long, J.-W. Peng & S.-Y.Wu, Generalized vector variational-like inequalities and nonsmooth vector optimization problems, *Optimization,* 61 (9), (2012), 1075-1086.
17. B. C. Ma and X. H. Gong, Optimality conditions for vector equilibrium problems in normed spaces, *Optimization* 60, *12,* (2011), 1441-1455.
18. Park S., *The KKM principle implies many fixed point theorems, Topology and its applications,* **135 (2004),** 197-206.
19. Shih M.-H. and Tan K.-K., *Covering theorems of convex sets related to fixed-point theorems*, in "Nonlinear and Convex Analysis-Proc. in Honor of Ky Fan" (B-L. Lin and S. Simons, eds.), Marcel Dekker, New York, 1987, pp. 235-244.
20. X. Z. Yuan, *The Study of Minimax Inequalities and Applications to Economies and Variational Inequalities,* Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, 625(1988).